

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/800,273	03/05/2001	Mark W. Publicover	5578-58206/RJP	5578-58206/RJP 3749	
75	7590 10/08/2003		EXAMINER		
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN CAMPBELL			DONNELLY, JEROME W		
LEIGH & WHINSTON, LLP One World Trade Center, Suite 1600  ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER			
121 S.W. Salmon Street			3764		
Portland, OR	97204 ,		DATE MAILED, 10/09/2003		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\ \( \chi \) \( \tag{\chi} \)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	A/800273	+ Ablicave	rata
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jerome W Donnelly	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply		•	Page 1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frow the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communica NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	-12-02		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ts is
4) $\mathcal{T}$ Claim(s) $l = 69$ is/are pending in the application	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) L-6 d are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applica	ation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			auon).
<ul> <li>a)           The translation of the foreign language points in the second secon</li></ul>		20 and prolety. Donnelly	
Attachment(s)		Primary Examiner	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>-</u> ·

Application/Control Number: 09/800,273

Art Unit: 3764

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group 1, a device as disclosed in claim 19 wherein "cable ties" are used as post securement means. Group 2, a device as disclosed in claim 17, wherein "a block having two vertically extending channels is used as a post securement means. Group 3, a device as claimed in claim 48, wherein a "U-bolt" is used as a securement means for post. Group 4, a device as disclosed in claim 23, which uses wing clamp as a means to secure post. Group 5, a device as disclosed in claim 24 which uses pipe clamps as a means to secure post. In addition once applicant chooses one of the above post connection means the applicant must select between a means of fastening a net to post means between the sleeves of claim 46 and winding strap as disclosed in claim 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, No claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims



Art Unit: 3764

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Richard Polley on 9-30-03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number 308-2668.

Donnelly/DI

October 1, 2003

Jerome W. Donnelly Primary Examiner